

We are faced with a real dilemma, because the BAR Association has established a monopoly over the interpretation and manner of the practice of law, they can, as an organization , **commit any crimes, perpetuate any scams, upon the American public with total immunity.**

They need only put a plausible face on it. If anyone sees through it, they are impotent to act, as all avenues of redress and correction are sealed or removed. Is this not high treason against the people and Constitution of the United States? Can It possibly be anything but?



## Notes and Further Reading

### Sources for further study:

<http://www.americanbar.org/aba.html>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American\\_Bar\\_Association](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Bar_Association)

[http://www.volokh.com/archives/archive\\_2006\\_02\\_12-2006\\_02\\_18.shtml](http://www.volokh.com/archives/archive_2006_02_12-2006_02_18.shtml)

<http://www.ripoffreport.com/r/American-Bar-Association/internet/American-Bar-Association-ripoff-corruption-lawyer-took-my-money-did-nothing-resigned>

## AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION



**CAUTION:** The American Bar Association is **NOT** a Friend to the Constitution of the United States and when you (*a living human being*) hire a member of the ABA, he does not work for you! Shocked? There is enough information about the ABA to convince you to NEVER HIRE a dues paying member. The ABA is agenda driven and their agenda does not involve our constitutional laws..

## Ministry of "Justice" - American Bar Association

The American Bar Association was founded by the Rothschild banking family in 1870, in Indiana. All members of the Judiciary and 71% of all legislatures, federal, state and local, *are Bar Association members*.

This places at least two branches of our government under their control. This is a conflict of interest.

Lawyers have a stake in writing bad laws, because good laws don't make them much money, for the simple reason that good laws tend to be obeyed; bad laws are not.

### Where lawyers go, crime follows

The very first law passed by the Indiana legislature, after its takeover by the Bar Association, was to prohibit private citizens (laymen) from practicing law. This was an unconstitutional ruling designed to create a monopoly over the interpretation of law and the manner of its practice.

Even before the founding of the American Bar Association, lawyers were granted a title of nobility, "Esquire", by the Rothschild family. This was so scandalous in the early 1800's that an amendment was ratified to make it a felony for anyone in government to hold a title of nobility. Efforts were promptly made, however, to bury the new amendment and destroy all traces of it from the law books and history books.

Evidence has since surfaced on this, but lawyers continue to deny its existence. Proof that this amendment had, in fact been ratified, have surfaced in a sufficient number of states, but lawyers continue to deny it, for the reason that it threatens to expose every single lawyer in the United States, as a traitor to the United States.

Every lawyer bears a title of nobility. Every lawyer is party to imposing a foreign jurisdiction in almost every courtroom in the country, making the United States Constitution unavailable, and our Constitutional Rights inaccessible to Americans.

This is treason against the people and Constitution of the United States.

There is no longer any room for doubt:

### **ALL LAWYERS ARE TRAITORS!**

All Lawyers are beholden to, and are licensed to operate under, the same jurisdiction complained about in the Declaration of Independence, that King George was imposing a "jurisdiction foreign to our soil".

All Lawyers are in the business of taking away Constitutional Rights from sovereign people and giving their power to a foreign banking family.

Now, before you think to go out and shoot lawyers, realize that the vast majority of them wear heavy blinders. They have been brainwashed in law school to think they are performing a public service.

Interpretation of law means many real laws, like 18 USC 241-242, which are supposed to protect us from violations of our Constitutional Rights, are made unenforceable. You can't find lawyers who will prosecute such cases, even though they pay well, IF you can fight it to a high enough court where the Constitution actually means something.

At the local and state level, civil rights cases are usually thrown out as "frivolous". Usually, that's because the state's case is frivolous, but they can't afford to let anyone know that. At the Supreme Court level, you are lucky if it gets heard. The Supreme Court can choose not to look at it.

Consequently, even in areas where the state or local governments have already been shown to be in violation, as with professional licensing, and with licensing and registration for passenger cars and drivers, no charges get enforced and the responsible agencies continue violating the law with impunity.

The manner of practice, means that we no longer have access to true Common-law trials. The Bill-of-Rights was not new; it largely codified rights traditionally covered under Common Law. Common Law also included an approach to court procedure which put the jury, and not the judge, in charge.

***The jury could ask the questions.***

The jury could decide what evidence was admissible. The jury was supposed to judge BOTH the law and the defendant. The judge was merely a referee and legal consultant. This had the advantage that the first priority in the proceedings was to find the truth. No longer. The Bar Association has corrupted it, substituting more and more elements of Law in Equity, Mercantile Law, Admiralty, Maritime Law, Law Merchant, Military Law, but usually known as Civil Law

This system places the judge in the position of being a dictator in the court. The judge is still technically bound by the decision of the jury, if you can get one, but they can now dictate what evidence may or may not be admissible, and may even lie to jurors about their responsibilities.

The result is an adversarial system, a gladiatorial contest in which champions of the two sides fight with words, writs, and procedures. The defendants are completely at the mercy of lawyers whose competence they are allowed little or no foreknowledge of. In most types of cases, like traffic courts, the jurisdiction and manner of practice are wholly Civil/Equity/Maritime.

As such, you do not have any rights; you have no power over your circumstances; you are reduced to a mere pawn in the hand of petty tyrants.

Examples:

1. Driver's licensing and registration
2. Marriage licensing and family services
3. Gun licensing and registration.

Lawyers have even conspired to change laws by changing existing legal definitions, without legislation. For this reason, old editions of Black's Law Dictionary from prior to 1930, have become extremely valuable. Words like "income", which previously excluded wages ("compensation") now include wages, thereby expanding the scope of tax codes without benefit of legislation.